

EVALUATION RUBRIC: Doctoral Comprehensive Examinations (Oral and Written)

Candidate Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Topic of written examination: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Check one for each criterion &amp; provide comments</b>					
<b>Criteria for Evaluation</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Marginal</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Outstanding</b>
1. Literature and Previous Work: Demonstrated sound knowledge of literature in the area and of prior work on the topic of the written examination.					
2. Design and Methods: Demonstrates an understanding of, and an ability to discriminate and utilize, research design protocols and methods associated with specialized area of kinesiological inquiry					
3. Critical Thinking: Has demonstrated capability for articulating and interrogating key problems and approaches in the field					
4. Theory: Critically engages and assesses the theoretical frameworks and concepts associated with specialized area of kinesiological inquiry					
5. Quality of Written Communication: Communicates clearly and professionally in written form					

Continued on next page...

Criteria for Evaluation	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Outstanding
6. Quality of Oral Communication: Communicates clearly and professionally in oral form					

Overall Assessment: Overall performance based on the evidence provided in items 1 – 6 above.

	Does NOT PASS Comprehensive Exam	PASSES Comprehensive Exam			
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Outstanding
OVERALL Rating:					

Overall Comments: