

EVALUATION RUBRIC: Doctoral (Ph.D.) Dissertation Proposal Meeting

Candidate Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Evaluator Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Dissertation Proposal Title: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Check one for each criterion &amp; provide comments</b>					
<b>Criteria for Evaluation</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Marginal</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Outstanding</b>
1. Problem Definition: Clearly stated the research question and provided a coherent and compelling justification of the research					
2. Literature and Previous Work: Demonstrated sound knowledge of literature in the area, and of prior work on the specific research question					
3. Impact of Proposed Research: Demonstrated the potential value of research to advancing knowledge within the area of study					
4. Approach: Has applied appropriate research design/methods to approach the defined problem and has described the design/methods effectively					
5. Quality of Written Communication: Communicates research proposal clearly and professionally in written form					

Continued on the back...

Criteria for Evaluation	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Outstanding
6. Quality of Oral Communication: Communicates research proposal clearly and professionally in oral form					
7. Critical Thinking: Has demonstrated capability for articulating and interrogating key problems and approaches in the field					
8. Broader Impact: Demonstrates awareness of broader implications of the proposed research. Broader implications may include social, economic, technical, ethical, business, etc. aspects.					

Overall Assessment: Overall performance based on the evidence provided in items 1 – 8 above.

	Does NOT PASS dissertation proposal	PASSES dissertation proposal			
	Unsatisfactory	Marginal	Satisfactory	Very Good	Outstanding
OVERALL Rating of the proposal:					

Overall Comments: